United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/511,748 | 09/06/2005 | Filippo Belardelli | 0508-1115 | 4081 |
| 466 YOUNG & TH | 7590 10/02/200 | 7 | EXAM | IINER |
| 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET | | | MITCHELL, LAURA MCGILLEM | |
| 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, | VA 22202 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 1636 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/02/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/511,748 | BELARDELLI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Laura M. Mitchell | 1636 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI | ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 C | October 2005. | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | · · | • | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29 and 32-36 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-29, 32-36 are subject to restriction | wn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11. | epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(s | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Apprity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/ | mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application | | | |

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Claims 30-31 are cancelled.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-10, 17-19, 26, 28-29, and 32-36, drawn to a cell population of activated mononuclear antigen presenting cells (APCs), a method for preparing mononuclear APCs comprising treating cells with ligands having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, a kit for preparing activated APCs comprising possibly a composition comprising a ligand having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, pharmaceutical composition or a vaccine comprising activated APCs and a method for treating an infectious or neoplastic disease in a patient.
- Group II, claim(s) 9, 11-15, 17-18, 20-24, 26-27 and 32, drawn to a method for preparing mononuclear APCs comprising treating cells with cytokines or inducers of interferon synthesis having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, a kit for preparing activated APC comprising possibly a composition comprising a Type I IFN or cytokine and compatible additives.
- Group III, claim(s) 9, 16-18, 25-27 and 32-36, drawn to a method for preparing mononuclear APCs comprising treating cells with physical stress and a kit for preparing activated APC.
- Group IV, claim(s) 9-24, 26-28 and 32-36, drawn to a method for preparing mononuclear APCs comprising treating cells with the combination of: ligands having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, cytokines or inducers of interferon synthesis having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes and physical stress and a kit for preparing activated APC comprising possibly a composition with this combination.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/511,748

Art Unit: 1636

The special technical feature that links the inventions of Group I that defines an advance over the prior art is the cell population of APCs comprising the claimed limitations as well as a method of preparing the APCs comprising treating the cells with ligands having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, and a method for using the APC population in a treatment method.

The special technical feature of Group II is a method for preparing APC cells comprising treating the cells with inducers of interferon synthesis or cytokines having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes; this defines an advance over Group I because it comprises the step of treating cells with a cytokine, which is not contemplated or disclosed in the methods of Group I. The special technical feature of Group III is a method for preparing the APC cells comprising treating the cells with physical stress; this defines an advance over Group I because it comprises the step of treating cells with physical stress, which is not contemplated or disclosed in the methods of Group I. The special technical feature of Group IV is a method for preparing APC cells comprising treating the cells with a combination of ligands having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes, with inducers of interferon synthesis or cytokines having receptors on the surface of blood monocytes and physical stress; this defines an advance over Group I because it comprises the step of treating cells with this combination which is not contemplated or disclosed in the methods of Group I.

In addition, the special technical feature of the method of Group II is distinct from Groups III-IV because Group II comprises the step of treating cells with a cytokine, which is not found in the methods of Group III-IV. The special technical feature of the

method of Group III is distinct from Groups II and IV, because Group III comprises the step of treating cells with physical stress, which is not found in the methods of Group II and IV. The special technical feature of the method of Group IV is distinct from Groups II-III because Group IV comprises the step of treating the cells with a combination of the stimuli detailed above, which is not found in the methods of Groups II-III. The cell population of Group I can be made by a process distinct from treatment of an APC cell with a ligand, such as by treating the cells with a cytokine (Group II), physical stress (Group III) or a combination of these stimuli (Group IV).

The claims of Group I have unity of invention because they are drawn to the following combination: A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; See 37 CFR 1.475.

The methods of Groups II-IV encompass additional processes of manufacture. If multiple products, processes of manufacture or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto will be considered as the main invention in the claims, see PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c). The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1.) Ligands that are cell growth factors.
- 2.) Ligands that are complement polypeptides.

- 3.) Ligands that are muramyl dipeptide analogues.
- 4.) Ligands that are natural and synthetic detoxified endotoxin derivatives.
- 5.) A ligand that is histamine.
- 6.) A ligands that is vitamin D3.
- 7.) Ligands that are arachidonic acid metabolites.
- 8.) Ligands that are aminosulfonic acid derivatives.
- 9.) Ligands that are bacillus Calmette-Guerin or bacterial membrane extracts.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Ligands: claims 10, 18-19.

The following claim(s) are generic: 9, 17-18, 27 and 32.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or

corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The ligand species are structurally, functionally and biochemically distinct molecules.

Page 7

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1.) Cytokines that are IFN.
- 2.) Cytokines that are IL-12, IL-13, IL18.
- 3.) A cytokine that is GM-CSF.
- 4.) A cytokine that is TNF α .
- 5.) A cytokine that is TGFβ.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Page 8

Cytokines and interferon: Claims 11-15, 20-24.

The following claim(s) are generic: 9, 17-18, 27 and 32.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: cytokine species are structurally, functionally and biochemically distinct molecules.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1.) Physical stress that is separation of the cells from plasma.
- 2.) Physical stress that is an osmotic change.
- Physical stress that is an electrical field.
- 4.) Physical stress that is a temperature variation.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Physical stress: Claims 9, 16, and 25.

The following claim(s) are generic: 9, 17-18, 27 and 32.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The physical stresses for inducing formation of the IFN lack a special technical feature because each involves a distinct function. For example, the technical feature of one species comprises treating cells with an electrical field, which is functionally and structurally distinct from exposing cells to an osmotic change.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-8783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura M. Mitchell, PhD Examiner 9/25/2007

CELINE QIAN, PH.L. PRIMARY EXAMINER